[Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai.]

Judge, Tinnevelly, in the Criminal Appeal setting aside the order of the Joint Magistrate, Shermadevi, binding over Ramalingam of Trivandrum, to furnish security under Section 109 (*a*) and 110 (*b*) of the British Indian Criminal Procedure Code, and if so, whether they have conducted any enquiry into the matter and what action they have taken against those Police officers whose conduct was questioned by the Sessions Judge?

Rao Bahadur N. Ramanuja Aiyangar (*Commissioner of Police*): The member is referred to the answer to question No. 27 by Mr. N. K. Padmanabha Pillai given on the 30th January 1935.

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai: In view of the statement made by the Chair yesterday that the matter is engaging the attention of the Government and that further detailed questions should be asked only after Government have come to a decision, I do not propose to put any supplementary questions although I have got several.

President: Of course, I admit.

Expenditure on Maramat works in the old allom.

38. ***Mr. V. S. Arumukham Pillai** (*Tovala* cum *Agastisvaram*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) the amount spent for Maramat works in this factory in each year for 10 years before the introduction of the excise system;

(*b*) the amount spent for Maramat works after the introduction of the excise system; and

(*c*) the reasons for this sudden increase in the amount?

Mr. C. O. Madhavan: (*Excise Commissioner*) (*a*) and (*b*) A *statement furnishing the information required is laid on the table.

(*c*) There was no sudden increase.

Representations re certain roads in Kunnatnad taluk.

39. ***Mr. G. Narayana Aiyar** (*Kunnatnad* cum *Parur*): Will the Government be pleased to state whether they have received any representations from the inhabitants of Manikkamangalam and Nayatode pakuthies in Kunnatnad taluk concerning:

(*a*) the bad condition of the Kalady-Manikkamangalam road; and

(*b*) the opening of a road from Nayatode to the Main Central Road, and whether any action has been taken on this?

Vide Appendix II—page 140.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. G. B. E. Truscott: (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No.

Mr. G. Narayana Aiyar: With reference to the answer to part (*a*), may I know when the representation was received?

Mr. G. B. E. Truscott: 23-7-1109.

Mr. G. Narayana Aiyar: May I know what action has been taken by the Government in this matter?

Mr. G. B. E. Truscott: A certain amount of work has been carried out and an amount of Rs. 1,077 has been spent and the road has been rendered fairly satisfactory and safe for traffic.

Mr. G. Narayana Aiyar: With reference to the answer to part (*b*), is it a fact that a memorial dated 8-12-1098 was sent to the Dewan, the Chief Secretary and the Chief Engineer concerning the opening of that road?

Mr. G. B. E. Truscott: As far as my information goes, no representation has been received.

Mr. G. Narayana Aiyar: Will the member be pleased to enquire into the matter?

Mr. G. B. E. Truscott: Certainly.

Opening a dispensary at Arakulam.

40. ***Mr. J. John Kattakayam:** Will the Government be pleased to state whether any action has been taken on the petition from the public praying for the opening of a dispensary at Arakulam?

Mr. James Simpson: The Darbar Physician has been asked to consider the case of Arakulam also when submitting consolidated proposals for opening new dispensaries.

Judicial deposits in the District and Munsiff's Courts.

41. ***Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai:** Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) what is the amount of annual judicial deposits in the various District and Munsiffs' Courts of Travancore for the last ten years;

(*b*) whether these amounts carry any interest; and

(*c*) whether they are mixed up with the general revenues of the State?

Rao Sahib Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: (*a*) A *statement containing the required information is laid on the table.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) No.

**Vide* Appendix III—pages 141-147.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly